1880-81
(L.R.)

File No. 32 of 1880
Serial No. 1-13

Subj:- Appeal of Srinivasaiyar
minor grandson Kathya
Kathya, Harpanahalli taluk, regarding
his claim to the Police
allowance

G.A.D. 3571 8.8.-
G. & S. [X. 1]
Previous reference

1880-81

192 .

File No.

Serial Nos.

Last reference

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Abstract

Part-B for

SUBJECT:

Appeal of Peeramasivayar, minor Shanthi &
Kaliya Perumal, Hadan School regarding Scholar-
ship Refund allowance.

A.G. 22 - P. - 5,000 - 1-6-20

(9)

M.S. No 1982 of 14th Oct 1887

Appt

1. Venkatarangayyangar of Gobi Hassan Taluq

2. Arangamma guardian of her minor son Krishnayyangar

Respt

Subba

represented by his Advocate Rangappa

In 1881

one Venkatarama Nayakkan of Kattaya Tevan

Alleged brother of the late deceased

& no 2 appellant smuggled

Cart money & absconded

He was removed from office

& the Govt directed

that his family should leave

the Mutt & office &

that the sum misappropriated

should be recovered from the

next heir who may be

appointed to the office.
A notice was accordingly
issued to see the heirs
of the deceased man, ~~to come forward~~
one Narasimhachary
(name of the 1st appellant
& a distant cousin of
the deceased man) was
appointed to the duties
of the office temporarily. This
took place in 1881. None
of the heirs came forward to
claim the
office ~~offered to fill the~~
~~vacancy~~ till
8th
~~Oct~~ Sept 1884, ~~when~~ ~~on which date the~~
Respondent - a stranger to the
family of the deceased man -
was appointed to the post on 24th Sept 1884
after ~~taking~~ an
affray occurred for the due
performance of the duties.
Narasimhachary who
was appointed to the duties
temporarily, hearing that
Respdt was about to be
appointed on 8th Sept 1884
requested that
security might be taken
from him & he appointed to the
post - He also ~~paid~~ posted
a deposit of Rs 200 as
security on 10th Sept 1884,

but no allocation was
fixed in his respect.
He preferred no appeal,
but in Oct 1887, the
1st appellant who
~~claimed the~~ included in the list
of Narasimhachary
claimed the office, &
also
the 2d appellant claimed
it in Nov 1887 ~~&~~
~~orders were passed thereon.~~

The 1st appellant has no
objection with appointment
being given to the 2d appellant
Nor. ~~&~~ his only
contention is that the
office should go either to
him or to the 2d appt
who are the next ~~heirs~~ of
the deceased Venkatesh
and not Respt who is
an utter stranger.—
The 2d Appt also is willing to
pay the sum embezzled
by the deceased Venkatesh
— Rs 327 immediately, or
Rs 327 in two years ~~of~~
instalments. The 1st
appellant is willing to pay
50 Rs a year— The Respt
is also willing to pay
the embezzled money— Both

It is offered to pay
the embezzled money
but no orders
were passed.

immediately + the
heir within one year —

When Resp^t was appointed,

The Gov considered
that A. 24 cent was
living in house, a stranger
a stranger to the estate
when 1st appellant's brother
— a distant cousin
of the deceased was living
& gave the same reason
that the Resp^t offered
to furnish. But the 1st
appellant or his brother
had opportunity being
invited to offer the
refund of the money embezzled
money for 3 years after
Resp^t was appointed,
their claim cannot be
now considered. The
refund to the 2d appellant,
the real claimant is
a minor & he might
not be made to
suffer for the default
on the part of his mother
& guardian Surrogate.
The Gov Respre

right in having refused
the appointment to the
brother of the 1st appellant
as he failed to fulfil
the condition on which
the Gov dedicated the
appointment to the Queen
& one of the heirs. + Neither
the 1st appellant, nor
his brother offered to
refund to Gov the
money embezzled by
the deceased —
Ver Natramanent,
the offer made by
the 1st appellant, 3
years after the appointt
of Resp^t, cannot be
now accepted —
But ~~the~~ ~~case of~~
the 2d appellant
~~appears~~ is entitled
to consideration he
was & is stated a
minor, & it is

5

~~Nor~~

Nor ~~that~~ his hereditary rights should suffer permanently for any fault on the part of his mother or guardian. The Govt therefore direct that the minor Krishnaya be registered as ~~the~~ subject to confirmation on his attaining the majority, & on his paying the sum embezzled by the deceased Venkatramanaiah ~~of the~~ ~~Accounts will continue to draw stipends till the minor attains his age & appeal to pay the sum embezzled.~~

the Karnam ~~Shanbhag~~ as Shanbhag

If Arrangements
who
pays down the money
a
embezzles advance — the money may be apprehended
advance or Bankruptcy. In
either case, the Resident
may be allowed to
continue in office till
the minor attains
age, with this difference
that if Arrangal
pays down the money
advance,

the Resident may be
allowed to withdraw
her security & made
to pay a suitable
allowance to the minor
till he attains her
majority, but if she
does not pay down
the money, he will
hold the office on the
existing terms without
the liability of paying
any thing for the
maintenance of the
minor. He Govt
authorized to fix
the allowance payable to
the minor in case Arrangal embezzled pays down
money ~~paid down~~ on
advance. If Resident and ~~Govt~~ willing to hold the office
on the condition, he is ~~allowed~~
at liberty to resign the office.

27/4/92

DPT
4/5/92

1/183 Mysl Dec 4 755 15.27.

1880.

From

The Dpt Commissioner
Kanara District

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner of Mysore, (1)
Revenue Department.

Dated 30th November

Despatched

Received 4/12/80.

Enclosures None

General No. } 1880—81.
No. 386

Reports that Subbaraya Shanbhague of the Kabbaji Kika in the Mundgod Taluk has absconded taking with him the account of Revenue Collections including the Khate and Kirdi books and stating that orders for the sale of his property for the amount misappropriated by him

4.12.80

L.R.32-80-1

4/12

No. 335 F. 550-61

(1)

Naraen District Dy. Commissioner's Office,
Camp Kamalli 3rd December 1861.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that Fakeramania, Khandaye of the Kattaya Pirivu in the Kandyland Pahat, has absconded taking with him the accounts of revenue collection, including the Kahate (individual demand and collection) and Kudi (collection and remittance) books.

2. On enquiry it is found that the total demand of the village in his charge for 1860-61 was Rs. 3[illegible], of which Rs. 1,[illegible]54 were collected by him to the Pahat Treasury leaving a balance of Rs. 734-8-1 outstanding, for which there is no individual list pertaining, nor can one be collected the same already remitted.

3. Some of the ryots produced receipts for payments made by them, while the majority cannot produce any, and allege that they gave no account their receipt books to the Khandaye to make the usual entries, and that he has kept it without returning them.

4. It is evident that most of the spots have actually paid a portion of their Kandayam, and a few may have paid the whole: but some of them may be taking advantage of the absence of the Khankapai and his accounts, to screen the same fairly entered: ing against them. It cannot be readily pre: vented that the Khankapai could have exe: ceeded in inforcing upon the whole of them in the manner they describe; for while they endea: vour to make out that they have all paid up the full amount of sereme due in the presence of the Price, there is scarcely a village in the whole District in which there was not at that time some portion of the Government demand still outstanding.

5. The Khankapai cannot be found, nor no one has been obtained as to his whereabouts. His two sons, of whom one is a minor, state that they have separated from their father. His prop: erty, which has been attached, is valued by the Amildar at about Rs. 200-0-0.

6. Orders are solicited for the sale of the Khankapai's property, and the forfeiture of the hereditary right to the Price, also with respect to enforcing payment entirely from the spots of the balance unrealized.

7. The Rich authorities do not appear

to be in part, or all Defaulters (Individuals) accounts not entrusted to Thanadars. The only irregularity committed was the Thanadars not having handed over the collections as they were made to the Sadr. This arrangement though enjoined has not been complied with, owing to the inexperience and ignorance of some of the Cutchi.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt. servant.

J. Mill

Dy. Commissioner

Cuttack District.

1 d
883

28-5-82 Secy.

Papers are forwarded

(General Serial No. 29.)

(2)

188/ .

From The Secretary to Government Revenue Department

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Government of Mysore Rev. Department.

Dated 26th May

Despatched

Received 31st May

Enclosures None

General No. 188/—82

No. 144.

Submitted: papers are sent to Govt.

R.G.

L.R. 32-80-2

This office letter No. 386 of the 5th December last to the address of the Revenue Secretary to the late Chief Comr. regarding the subscription towards Kannambadi, Shanbhag & Kashyap in the matter of grant of Salary of certain Revenue Collectors

---

With reference to the above, the

3/5

Power to solicit the
favors of Early address
on the Subject. -

I
I 83

(General Serial No. 30.)

3

188/ .

From

Dewan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore, ~~Department.~~

To

Asst Dy. Commr.,
Hassan Dt.

D.2.32-80-3

Dated 10 June.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2019
No. 64.

State that Venkat
ramaniah, signatory of the
petition filed
in Chikmagalur C., who had absconded
should be criminally prosecuted
& his property attached after
due proclamation.

(3)

Letter No 386 of the 30th November 1881 from the Dy Com.r Naccandi, reporting that Kuttabramah Chanbaguee Kattaya Pirka, Arikkode Taluk, has absconded taking with him the accounts of the Pirka and some money which had been collected from the ryots on account of revenue, soliciting orders for the sale of his property for the recovery of the amount misappropriated by him.

No 2019/64 of 3 June 81.

The Chanbaguee should be criminally prosecuted & his property attached after due proclamation. The family should forfeit the Mirasi of the office. It is hoped that by enquiry on the spot, the balances paid and still remaining to be collected may be ascertained with tolerable accuracy, and any sums which may remain unaccounted for may be recovered from the next heir who may be appointed to the office.

The appointment may be
made on this condition.

2. The village Accounts
and the relative responsibilities
of the Patel and the Kaudgaon
would seem to require
to be revised & better-
defined for the security
of the public revenue.

W. Lecky

Do Rec'd by Comp. Kaira Dist



No. 199

L.R. 32-80-5

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(5)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1982, dated 2 October 1886 received at the Dewan's Office from Peons-arrangal of Gubbi, Tiptur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur for report with papers.

BANGALORE,
28th October 1886.

By Order,

Under Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—5-10-86.—2000.

(6)

(General Serial No. 32.)

1890.

No. 2168

Name of Petitioner. Lakkarasa, Sandagadi of

Village. Cattaya Pillai

Taluk. Kapaw

District. Kaffir

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 14th January

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two.

L.R. 32-80-6

20/3/90

Submitted

AOP

ABSTRACT.

[illegible] Lakkarasa Sandagadi Veeranna Lakkarasa [illegible] petitioner [illegible] Government [illegible] claimants came forward [illegible] made good [illegible] amount, as [illegible] No. 2168 of 14th January, [illegible] Kapaw Sub-Magistrate [illegible] 9th July 1864 [illegible] the office as his deputy [illegible] as Security.

Veeranna, wife of the [illegible] said Lakkarasa [illegible] son agree to pay the [illegible] required amount [illegible] Government in three [illegible] transfer of the Paravai [illegible] name of the [illegible].

Petitioner says that the [illegible]

NR
16-1-90
3.

No 2168 (6)

To V. Sriharadri Iyer, Esquire
Diwan to His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore

Most respected Sir

The petitioner Subbaraya the shanbogue
of Kuttaya Hirika, Hassan Taluk, most
respectfully prayeth:—

Being given to understand
that one Srirangamma, the wife of Nara-
simhaya, (the brother of the late Shanboge)
agreeing to pay the revenue misappropriated
by the late Shanbogue, and has been
recommended by the Deputy Commissioner
to transfer the Baraward from the mis-
appropriated Shanbogue to that of her
son; And that the petitioner lays down the
following prayers for your honour's benign
Consideration and favourable order.

3 Vengatalamanaya the late
Shanbogue having misappropriated —
Government revenue, went on a tour

in the year 1880; then notices were issued to all the closest and proper claimants of Shanbogee giving a months duration to produce the misappropriated revenue, in accordance with the proceedings of the Dewan No 12019/64, as no claimants brought their claims to serve as Shanbogues on a fixed security for four years; and that the petitioner craved for the vacancy to confer upon him the Baraved in his name. In accordance with the officer in charge of the Hawan Sub-division No 367 dated 24th September 1884, the petitioner was appointed as Shanbogue after depositing Rs 200 as Government security. But no — condition whatever, inserted in the order to redeem the claim of Shanbogee.

Since then, the petitioner (4) has been working with great earnest and, cleared almost all the Government revenue, so as not to remain for the next. The — notice as to bring forward any claims for the Shanbogee having been served over this Srirangamma (who professes herself to be the wife of the late Shanbogue's — brother, and that she is divided and lives herself separately from them) has lost

all claims to the post, as she has brought
her complaint at such a eleventh hour
contrary to the condition and duration
of the above said order. She could not
manage the work herself in her own re-
sponsibility as she being a woman.

5c Though this is a very poor
means of livelihood (of Shanbogue of
only two villages) the petitioner was de-
pending entirely on the Government's
order and has sacrificed all other means
of livelihood and reduced to pinching-
difficulties. Now, that the petitioner sub-
mits herewith the copy of the Dewans proceedings
(dismissing the claims of all the claimants
the late Shanbogue's) and also the copy of
the order of the Amaldar of Aravan Lakh
No. 1233 dated 27th September 1854 (appointing
him as Shanbogue in dispute) for your
honor's kind perusal and has also
applied for the copy of the Notice (inserti-
ing the above Dewans proceedings condition)
served on all the claimants. The petitioner
will submit the above copy immediately
after he receives it.

6 Further he begs to
state that the Government is bent upon

recovering the whole misappropriated sum
putting aside all the other claims and
circumstances, he is also ready to pay the
balance of the sum excluding the sum
recovered on the estates of the late Shaubogue
on the same condition & instalments proposed
to take from the Complainant Sreenagana
as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner.

Considering all his
poor and humble prayers as stated—
above, into your honors favourable con—
sideration and begs your gracious hard
to reject the Complainants representation
and Confer him in his stead.

For which act of—
kindness and charity he ever prays for
your long life and prosperity.

He begs to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant

—Vasa &c—

No 2168

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

12th June 1890.

20.

26

(7)

Appellant. Vs. 29 Respondent.

Subbaraya Shanbogue

Ranga Rau, Deputy

L.R. 32-80-9

Endorsement.

In reply to his appeal Petition, dated 11th January 1890

Petitioner is informed that the Government cannot

comply. It may, however, be mentioned that

~~is informed~~

inquiries that may be necessary on the application

will be made by Government

Enclosures are herewith returned.

Bangalore

12/June

Chief Secretary

Serial No. 31)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
8 MAY 91
1884
F. 2

189

From The Commissioner
Kaveri district

(8)

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th May 1891

Despatched 5th " "

Received

Enclosures No. 1 (4 sheets) & 2 plans of Kr. Ko.

General No. 53
No. 8

Subject Execution of the petition of Lingaraj Iyengar of Gowdanakeri praying for the office of Thandaga of Kuttiyanakeri Kaveri Taluk

Submitted

P. Venkatesh
Asst
7/5/91

Hearing 8 hours 1891
Qui vore & Cole
parties
cf
28/5/1891

Submitted to the Commr
7th May/91 AR

adjourned to
12th June
1890
A1
29/10/80

adjourned to
14th January
1892
A1
14/11/91

adjourned to
10th March 1892
A1
7/1/92

Qui vore & Cole
parties
cf
28/5/1891

Hearing 8 hours 1891
Qui vore & Cole
parties
cf
28/5/1891

Dy. No. 2871/36 dy. 7/5/92

No. 21 54 Misc 92.
Qui vore & Cole
parties
cf
28/5/1891

L.R. 32 80-8

31
9.5.91

No 53
of

Encl 2 fols of V/Rs. & a petn with 4 Encs.

Manner Office
Hyderabad

31

Dated Hyderabad the 4th day of Decr 1891.

(8)

From

The Manager
Hyderabad

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Hyderabad

Sir,

With reference to Secrets Memorandum No 499 of the 28th October 1887, forwarding for report with proceedings a petition from one Venkatasubbiah of Gooty regarding the office of Mukhtyar of Kattaya Tanka of Gooty Taluk Ixluk, I have the honor to report that the facts of the case are these

In 1880 one Venkatasubbiah Mukhtyar of Kattaya Tanka absconded after misappropriating a large sum of Government money as reported

in the Cape Supreme district office No
386 of 30th November 1853. In the
Deceased's Proceedings No 29/64 of the
6th June 1864, it was ordered
that the Chaplaincy should be
suspended and his property attached
after due publication that the
family should forfeit, during
of the office, that any Lawful
Claimy can exercise for
should be received from the next
heir who might be appointed to
the office and that the appointment
should be made on this condition.
In accordance with this order
a notice was issued in July 1864
& served on the heirs of the
deceased Chaplain, but the
record does not contain only one
half of the notice in question
& the other half is not forthcoming.
The other correspondence however

Marked

Shows that 30 notice was duly issued
on the heirs of the deceased. The
non residence of the other half of
the notice is attributable to a State
resident. In the meantime one
Nachimuthupathy, the Guardian
of the adjoining Fuke and a
distant cousin of the deceased
was appointed temporarily to
discharge the current duties.

2. None of the heirs came for-
ward to claim the office until 1884.
In 1884, one Subbaya of Kangayam
connected with the family
of the deceased applied for the
appointment with back as cautions
of depositing Rs 200 in bank &
lease of his immovable property
as security for the due performance
of the office & he was accordingly
appointed to the post. Thereafter

the

the order of appointment does not clearly state that he is appointed until then, yet the previous correspondence shows that such a condition was understood. This new instrument is the present Chancellor of the Exchequer

3. On hearing that Sublevinge was to be made permanent Chancellor Normanby (then the Chancellor in charge) offered to make a similar deposit in case of his being confirmed and pushed against the appointment of Sublevinge. On repeating his petition he was informed by an Undersecretary that a separate qualified person was already appointed to the post.

4. In July 1887, he renewed his petition offering to resign the irremovable annuity of the defunct Treasury

× order No 367 of the 24th Sept 1884

money in three installments in
case he was appointed Guardian
and Committee (the petitioner)
Gangee brother of the said Parkanda
Das & another Krishnagopaul
under of one Kamini Kanta
a petition of the deceased the
latter also praying her Mother
Sm Kristnagopaul being nominated
to the post of her nominee the
Magistrate appointed to act as
Guardian during the minority
of her son. She further agreed
to pay in half of the remainable
balance viz Rs 327-5-4 within
one month & the other half in
2 yearly installments. In the Enquiry
made before the Defendant, the
petitioner Committee objected
that he had no objection to the
minor Krishnagopaul being
appointed & that in case of his
failure

failure to (the petitioner) the appraised
~~the~~ condition of securing the loss
by yearly instalments of ~~$~~ 50 each.

5. The general applicant encouragement
who don't write the same prayer
the special applicants in the
following order as will be seen
from the genealogical tree in
the file.

1. Kristinejuan } the sisters
Onisan Sinjuanian } & nephews
Sinsinjuanide } of the heirs

2. Amadiendaden } distant
3. Sinnidpiénjade } cousins
(the petitioner)

4. Sublenije Unmarried
unite the family

See sisters and third the property
of the order, appointing Sublenije
a Sinnijen to the last permanently
united

3 Instead the Law being made good
by Government as directed in the
former Proceedings + instead
reference to Government inspector to
question + he further suggests
that the Inuit Kivitoo employee
who is a member of the deceased
family, may be appointed Trust
in case of his failure + Take
good Self. the Law witnesses
Marks as agreed by Commissioner
may be given the Influence
+ friendly Admonishment.

6. It will be observed from the
foregoing facts that none of
the above named heirs ever
succeeded to take up the
Appointment as any condition
for a period of more than
3 Years from the date of the
Notice

notice & until Saturday June
his application. Then Commissioner
& Auditor applied
for the office and the same
condition as before Saturday
were agreed & then there
they did not offer themselves
& present the Case. It was only
in 1887 that these powers were
& Mortgage the Law & now
before. The second proceedings
which says above the condition
upon which the appointment
has been made, refers only to
the case in which the appointment
is made in consideration of
services & not to case in which
a Company appointed when
no loan was contemplated.

There

There must be long interval between
the date of the notice & the date
of the appearance of the Court there
with their officer to make good
the loss or such as fewer than the
of the remainder of the interest
interested, who took up the
appointment binding himself
to general execution of his
duties as the Court may direct
depend of his office for misconduct
other than those in the Insolvency
The only point that can be taken
into consideration in favour
of the applicant is the offer
they have made to pay 10/ in the
Money is still due to Government
This however the present incumbent
is willing to do.

I beg to submit the Enclosed correspondence connected with the case as requested. The Enclosure received with the above is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obt Servt

Rd Pearce Esqr
Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To Mr. Dy Comr.
Hassan Dist.

Dated 7th May

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2471 R.
P. No 36

Enclosing to Register Krishna
Iyengar's letter Gandadi of
the Pandavapuri 7th
Kattayam with Talkeri
Subbiah to do duty
conditionally.

L.R. 3280-9

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 1782 of 14th October 1897

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

1. Srinivasa Iengar
of Gorur,
Hassan Taluk,
2. Sivangamma
guardian of her
minor son Krishna
Iengar.

Public,
represented by
the Advocate
Ranjappa.

Decision. 7.4.5.92.

In 1881, one Venkataramania, Shanbogue of Kattaya Seeka, divided brother of the late husband of Respt 2 — Appellant, embezzled Govt money and absconded. He was dismissed from office and the Govt directed that his family should forfeit the Inam of the office" and that the sum misappropriated should be recovered from the next heir who may be appointed to the office". A notice was accordingly issued to all the heirs of the dismissed man, & one Narasimha Chary, bro the mar of the 1st Appellant & a distant cousin of the dismissed man, was appointed

T

to do duties of the office temporarily
This took place in 1881. None of the
heirs came forward to claim the
office till 8th Sept. 1884. Respondent
a stranger to the family of the deceased
man, was appointed to the post on
24th Sept. 1884 on his offering security
for the due performance of his duties.
Narasimhachar, who was appointed
to do duties temporarily, having left
Respdt was about to be appointed, re-
quested, on 8th Sept. 1884, that security
might be taken from him and he appointed
to the post. He also produced a deposit
of Rs 200 as security on 10th Sept 1884,
but no attention was paid to his re-
quest. He preferred no appeal, but
in Oct. 1887, the 1st Appellant, who is
undivided brother of Narasimha-
chary, claimed the office, and the 2d Ap-
pellant also claimed it in Nov. 1887, &
offered to pay the embezzled money, but
no order were passed. The 1st Appellant
has no objection to the appointment
being given to the 2d Appellant & my
this only contention is that the office
should go either to ——— him or to
the 2d Appellant who are the next heir

the dismissed Venkataramania, and not the Respt who is an utter stranger. The 2d Appt is also willing to pay the sum embezzled by the dismissed Venkataramania - ~~Rs~~ 327/ immediately, and Rs 327/ in two yearly instalments. The 1st Appellant is willing to pay the embezzled money at 50 Rs a year. The Respt is also willing to pay the embezzled money - 300 Rs immediately & the remainder within one year.

The Govt considers that the Dy. Commr was right in having refused the appointment to the brother of the 1st Appellant, as he failed to fulfil the condition on which the Govt directed the appointment to be given to one of the heirs. When Respt was appointed, neither the 1st Appellant nor his brother offered to re-fund to Govt the money embezzled by the dismissed Venkataramania; the offer made by the 1st Appellant 3 years after the appointment of Respt, cannot be now accepted. But the 2d Appellant is entitled to consideration. He was & is still a minor & it is not right that his hereditary right should suffer permanently for any

fault

fault on the part of his mother and guardian. The Court therefore direct that the minor Krishna Iyengar be registered as Kuckdar subject to Confirmation as Shanbhog on his attaining the majority, and on his paying the sum embezzled by the dismissed Venkataramaniah. If Srirangamma pays down the whole money embezzled at once, the minor may be appointed at once as Shanbhog. In either case, the Respondent will be allowed to continue in office till the minor attains age, with this difference that if Srirangamma pays down the money at once, the Respt. will be allowed to withdraw his security made to pay a suitable allowance to the minor till he attains his majority, but if she does not pay down the money, he will hold the office on the existing terms without the liability of paying anything for the maintenance of the minor. The Dy. Comm. is authorized to fix the allowance payable to the minor in case Srirangamma pays down the embezzled money at once. The Respt. is

is not willing to hold the office on this condition, he is at liberty to resign the office.

"By Order"

Chief Secretary

Re.
No 2471.
4 p. No 36.

Dated 4th May 92 to the Hy. Comm. Kaffraria
Draft, with the enc. Leaves recd with
his No 53 of 4th May 1892.

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Redraft. 6th May 1892

Rd. 9/4

Gen. Serial No. 30

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4527
Rec'd 21 DEC 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Revenue
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14th December 1895.
Despatched 16th
Received

Enclosures No. none

General No. 5425
No. Rev

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Fixing the allowance payable by the officiating Amildar of Arkalgud Circle in Hassan Taluk towards the maintenance of Munsiff Establishment to the Office.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Sub-Pr orders with reference

Sd 23/12 Sd 2nd Counsellor
21-12-95

6/1/96

Dn Commissioners Office,
Hassan District.

Camp Hanbanhalli 11th December 1895.

No 525
Rev

From A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar Esquire,
Dn Commissioner.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your memo No 2471 forwarding copy of decision dated 4th May 1892 in Appeal No 1982 of 14th October 1887 pe Shanbogue of Kadaya Hobli, Hassan Taluk, directing the Dn Commissioner to fix the allowance payable to the minor in case Srinivasamma pays down the embezzled money at once, I have the honor to state that the Dn Commissioner ordered in his No 449 of the 2nd December 1894 to the Hassan Amildar that the Respondent should pay 1/4 of the Potaji to the minor Krishnaiyya.

2. It appears from the Amildar's report that the full amount of the embezzled money has been paid by the 2nd Appellant Srinivasamma. She represents that she had to mortgage all her property and raise the sum at high interest.

3. I think that 1/3 of the Potaji would be more fair for

+ Appellant
1. Seshamma
2. Srinivasamma guardian of Narasimhiengar
Respondent
Krishnaiyya

49

for maintenance considering that the minors guardian has had to lay down Robes and adv.

4. I therefore beg to be permitted to direct the petitioner's officiator the Respondent, to pay 1/3 of the Potapi to the minors guardian for maintenance or, in default to remove him from Service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

1896

(12)

50 L P

The Dy. Commissioner
Lahore Dist.

L R. 32-80-11

Dated 17th
despatched 18 Jany 1896.

No 6379
P. 1282

Her Excellency the Viceroy
and Governor General has
been pleased to appoint
Captain Arthur Edward
Wilson Assistant Superintendent
of Police Lahore

Wilson performed duties
for the present to rank as
Deputy Superintendent of Police
and to draw the pay of
that office

Wilson performed duties
for the present to rank as
Deputy Superintendent of Police
and to draw the pay of
that office which comprises
Allowances, as per rules

Offg. HCh
17/1
Asst. Secy.

C1.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT — 5834 — 1896 — 28 JAN — DEWAN'S OFFICE — MYSORE

51

Sales by Commissioner Hassan to Recorder 17-1-96 — Q^r 14-2-96

Approve [illegible] 17.2.96

Draft Ltr 18/2

L.R. 37.80-12

Draft Ltr 21/2

From The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

To Revenue
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Government~~ Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 28th
Despatched 30th } January 1896.

Received

Enclosures No. "

General No. 697
No. [illegible]

In reply to No 63-9 dated 17-1-96
1282

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Kallaya Pirikia comprises only 2 villages and that the Patej Amount to Rs. 80-8-0

20-2
26-

Rec'd 5/2

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Submitted with reference to 2nd Comm- Rg. called order dt. 7-1-96

6/2

Hon
12/2/96

No. 69
5

Deputy Commissioner of
Hassan Distr

Dated, Camp Kemaroyapa
28th January
30th

From,

The Dy Commissioner
Hassan Distric

To,

The Revenue Secret
to the Government
Bangal

Sir,

With reference to y
Docket No. 6379 Dated 17th Nove
P. 1282
last, requesting to be infor
the amount of Potagi and
of villages attached to Kab
Pirka, in the Hassan Talu
have the honor to state tha
Pirka Comprises only two v
and the Potagi amounts to

I have the hono
Sir,
your most obedien

Ag Chairman D
Hassan

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

(14)

Read:-

Letter No. 525 dated 14th December 1895 from the Dy. Commissioner recommending that Sirbahadur who was appointed by the Collector under the Govt. Decision Under Govt. No. 2171 Pet. 36 dated 7th May 1892 to perform the Shantaji duties of the Kulkarni Taluka Haveri Taluka during the minority of the ~~Kulkar~~ Kulkarni Sheeruru may be made to pay 1/3 of the Potgi allowance to the minor.

2. Letter No: 697 dated 28th January 1896 reporting that the Kulkarni Taluka Haveri is in charge of 2 villages and that the Potgi amounts annual to Rs 80-8-0

Order

Order thereon - Ld. 17.1.17 / 87-57-95 & 26.2.96. 2/3

The paym.
The Dy. Comm.
recommendation
direct payment of re-fund.
Pay Rs of the Postal amount
& the mine is
approved

Hdh
26/2
Dy Secy.

To The Dy. Comm
Kaiser

SOR
27/2

RD.
2/3